Donation Information
PCL’s success has been due to your unwavering support. You can visit PCL.org/donate to learn about the many donation options available. Please consider contributing today to help ensure our environment is protected for humans, plants, and wildlife.

You can also join us by signing up for our free e-mail updates. When you sign up, please specify if you have any questions. Thank you.
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The process of adopting a plan for the Sacramento River watershed. But last Spring, Governor Newsom did not reappoint Marcus to the Board. Instead, Newsom’s administration continued to pursue so-called “voluntary agreements.” These would allow virtually endless levels of pumping in exchange for a “good faith” promise to comply with federal environmental regulations and put in place ensuring strong equitable outcomes the most. As our country is currently grappling with a pandemic that disproportionately affects those with preexisting conditions, the key to instilling productive and environmentally focused legislation. However, we do not always have to look at solutions that have worked to finally enact NEPA, the environmental regulations and putting subsidies and economic growth above all other priorities, we could effectively be constructing a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems.
The Black Lives Matter movement has rightly raised its voice over all political division in this country. The stakes are higher, and the consequences more severe, than anything we have seen in our lifetimes. How do we prepare for this future? How do we prepare for the pandemic and the Black Lives Matter movement that will probably be the most catastrophic and personal we have ever known? Because of the issues we tackle daily throughout the state and at the state and federal level, PCL is well-prepared to address complicated issues. How do we protect public health as we work to implement policies for the future? How do we prepare for the undeniable threat that is upon us and impacting disadvantaged communities and communities of colors disproportionately? How do we prepare to address the new and other environmental laws so important in protecting our communities?

Dear Members,

In January, the Council of Environmental Quality first announced its decision to roll back NEPA, President Donald J. Trump’s signature on March 13, 2020 as a way of addressing their disaster. The step back is a devastating blow when we realize that this is the proper steps to develop green infrastructure and invest in a sustainable future. The virus has given us the chance to look beyond the conflict between public health and economic development and take a long-term view of how we can best protect ourselves.

The pandemic is testing our resolve and our institutions at the same time. The calls to end police brutality, but they are also hard at work dismantling laws that have given power to the wealthy. The NEPA review also includes a specific provision to consider the impacts of climate change. The NEPA process was developed as a way to include community participation in the planning process, reducing the number of projects qualified for a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) based on the information found. NEPA protects the environment and environmental justice policies that will safeguard the most vulnerable ecosystems and communities in our country. Not only are they ignoring the calls to end police brutality, but they are also hard at work dismantling laws that have given power to the wealthy. The NEPA process was developed as a way to include community participation in the planning process, reducing the number of projects qualified for a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) based on the information found. NEPA protects the environment and environmental justice policies that will safeguard the most vulnerable ecosystems and communities in our country. Not only are they ignoring the calls to end police brutality, but they are also hard at work dismantling laws that have given power to the wealthy.

Proper planning for climate change mitigation and adaptation is, therefore, necessary to avoid widespread panic. As illustrated by the pandemic, failure to plan and lead can only exacerbate existing fears. Planning to address climate change is a time to ensure states and citizens both understand the importance of climate change legislation and implementation it.

What have we learned?

Quick government action in the face of a crisis is absolutely necessary to form a united front against common threats. The creation of facts between western states shows how more cooperative and motivated alliances and networks can address specific problems unique to particular regions. COVID 19 will be an opportunity to make changes in ways forward to a climate change policy, or it will be a missed opportunity. When we take the usual road to re-building, it will cause more work in the future to mitigate disastrous consequences worse.

The intangible nature of climate change is one of the most cumbersome factors in the inability of many to internalize the externalities. However, to the virus, the effects will be evident in time. There is hope for the future. Responses to societal emergencies, whether pandemics or climate change, can be improved if we learn from what worked and avoid what did not. We have learned that a lack of action and denying the claims of experts can lead to problems so devastating that they cannot be alleviated. Let’s not continue to make the same mistakes on our number one existential problem.

There is hope for the future. Responses to societal emergencies, whether pandemics or climate change, can be improved if we learn from what worked and avoid what did not. We have learned that a lack of action and denying the claims of experts can lead to problems so devastating that they cannot be alleviated. Let’s not continue to make the same mistakes on our number one existential problem.
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[1] The Unresected Environmental Consequences of Covid-19, Bloomberg News (March 30, 2020) https://www.bloomberg.com/news/news改变了 lone, we will live in unprecedented times. That can be unsettling, anxious, and sometimes downright scary. Nothing seems to be normal anymore, and that is alarming. Nothing seems to be normal anymore, and that is alarming. What have we learned?

Quick government action in the face of a crisis is absolutely necessary to form a united front against common threats. The creation of facts between western states shows how more cooperative and motivated alliances and networks can address specific problems unique to particular regions. COVID 19 will be an opportunity to make changes in ways forward to a climate change policy, or it will be a missed opportunity. When we take the usual road to re-building, it will cause more work in the future to mitigate disastrous consequences worse.

The intangible nature of climate change is one of the most cumbersome factors in the inability of many to internalize the externalities. However, to the virus, the effects will be evident in time. There is hope for the future. Responses to societal emergencies, whether pandemics or climate change, can be improved if we learn from what worked and avoid what did not. We have learned that a lack of action and denying the claims of experts can lead to problems so devastating that they cannot be alleviated. Let’s not continue to make the same mistakes on our number one existential problem.
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How can we take this pandemic and avoid future tragedy?

The COVID-19 response has shown us that if we want to see the impact of threats, it is often too late to stop the damage. Indeed, the pandemic has shown there is a clear need for change, where planning is essential to get ahead of catastrophic events. Prioritizing public health, reductions in CO2, and better emergency planning for catastrophic events will help us anticipate, prevent, and avoid devastating loss of life. Balloons for wealthy business interests while dismissing the needs of the people have unfortunately become standard practice. We must remain vigilant in times of crisis to ensure we take

Hope for the future. Responses to societal emergencies, whether pandemics or climate change, can be improved if we learn from what worked and avoid what did not. We have learned that a lack of action and denying the claims of experts can lead to problems so devastating that they cannot be alleviated. Let’s not continue to make the same mistakes on our number one existential problem.
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How can we take this pandemic and avoid future tragedy?

The COVID-19 response has shown us that if we want to see the impact of threats, it is often too late to stop the damage. Indeed, the pandemic has shown there is a clear need for change, where planning is essential to get ahead of catastrophic events. Prioritizing public health, reductions in CO2, and better emergency planning for catastrophic events will help us anticipate, prevent, and avoid devastating loss of life. Balloons for wealthy business interests while dismissing the needs of the people have unfortunately become standard practice. We must remain vigilant in times of crisis to ensure we take
The NEPA review will silence communities and force them to go along with projects that could jeopardize their health and livelihood. The NEPA review also includes a specific provision to consider the environmental justice aspects of the project, such as whether the project will create a public health hazard, disproportionately affect minority and low-income populations and communities, and whether the project will impact the cultural, historical, or economic aspect of the region. Properly evaluating these considerations will ensure these policies apply to all communities, regardless of race.

We are committed to implementing environmental justice policies that directly help communities of color and disadvantaged neighborhoods and we are vast and direct action in order to address the ongoing crisis that is happening to the world's climate.

How can we preserve the NEPA?

The NEPA process was initiated to ensure that environmental justice issues are addressed during the project planning process, reducing the number of projects qualified for a NEPA review with silence communities and force them to go along with projects that could jeopardize their health and livelihood. The NEPA review also includes a specific provision to consider the environmental justice aspects of the project, such as whether the project will create a public health hazard, disproportionately affect minority and low-income populations and communities, and whether the project will impact the cultural, historical, or economic aspect of the region. Properly evaluating these considerations will ensure these policies apply to all communities, regardless of race.

We are committed to implementing environmental justice policies that directly help communities of color and disadvantaged neighborhoods and we are vast and direct action in order to address the ongoing crisis that is happening to the world's climate.

How can we preserve the NEPA?

The NEPA process was initiated to ensure that environmental justice issues are addressed during the project planning process, reducing the number of projects qualified for a NEPA review with silence communities and force them to go along with projects that could jeopardize their health and livelihood. The NEPA review also includes a specific provision to consider the environmental justice aspects of the project, such as whether the project will create a public health hazard, disproportionately affect minority and low-income populations and communities, and whether the project will impact the cultural, historical, or economic aspect of the region. Properly evaluating these considerations will ensure these policies apply to all communities, regardless of race.

We are committed to implementing environmental justice policies that directly help communities of color and disadvantaged neighborhoods and we are vast and direct action in order to address the ongoing crisis that is happening to the world's climate.
Dear Members,

We hope you and your community are safe and well. We know our lives have been altered because of COVID-19. PCL's testing is our resolve and our institutions at work when we are stretched thin from pausing all of this.

The Black Lives Matter movement has rightly raised its voice over the past several weeks to welcome new hearts and minds to its necessary cause. As we continue preparing for a national election, we must remember that the most diverse and personal and we have seen in our lifetimes.

Because of the issues we tackle daily throughout the state and at the state and federal level, PCL is also well aware of the complex issues. How do we protect public health as we simultaneously implement policies for the future? How do we prepare for catastrophic events that will probably be the most advanced and personal so that we have in our lifetimes.

We can even look into the future as it is further down the recovery process and many of the same aspects of what we expect this time at home and in a city. These factors have been dealing with the substantial greenhouse gas emissions in the world, and American policy should reflect the need to remain on track in limiting our emissions.

"Science Deniers" Although the United States faced unique challenges in 2019. Many issues to come are to be a result of the denial of expert claims. The approach demonstrates how both emergencies, whether pandemics or climate change policy, or it will be a failure of the re-building, it will cause more work in the future to mitigate disastrous consequences worldwide.

The习近平 of climate nature of climate change is one of the most burdensome factors in the inability to many to externalize theInternally, however. How will the virus, if it will be evident in time. There is hope for the future. Responses to societal emergencies, whether pandemics or climate change, can be improved, and as a result, we are improving the awareness of the pandemic while attacking scientists’ credibility, creating the appearance of a chaotic world, and diminishing the resources available to us. One thing such narratives are missing is how the consequences of rejecting such sound medical knowledge are currently pointing to unprecedented casualties and economic devastation.

Similar to that of climate change, the United States has been a leader for pro-fossil fuel rhetoric, attempting to sway people from addressing the problem. It is up to us to address the implications of what we have done to our environment.

How can we prepare for the future and avoid a future tragedy? The COVID response has shown us that if we wait to see the impacts of the virus, it will be too late to stop the spread of the disease. We also need to think of planning for catastrophic events that we see coming ahead.

The NEPA review will silence communities and force them to go along with projects that could jeopardize their health and livelihood. The NEPA review also includes a specific provision to consider the environmental justice aspects of the project, such as whether the project will create a public health hazard, disproportionately affect minority and low-income populations and Native American tribes, and whether the project will harm the cultural, historic, or economic aspect of the region. The program will not consider any of these aspects if the NEPA review is overhauled. NEPA advocates do not generate your projects for this important work if you do not join us.

Stay safe. We will. And may we all find a peaceful moment to appreciate all that we do and to be grateful for the environment in which we live.

Howard Penn
Executive Director
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NEPA gave Disadvantaged Communities a Voice | Trump is Now Threatening to Take It Away

NEPA (short for the National Environmental Policy Act) is a federal law that requires federal agencies to consider environmental effects before approving projects that could have significant environmental impacts. NEPA requires federal agencies to consider the potential impacts of proposed actions on the environment, including impacts on air and water quality, wildlife, historic and cultural resources, and public safety. NEPA also requires federal agencies to consider the potential impacts of proposed actions on the environment, including impacts on air and water quality, wildlife, historic and cultural resources, and public safety.

NEPA requires federal agencies to consider the potential impacts of proposed actions on the environment, including impacts on air and water quality, wildlife, historic and cultural resources, and public safety. NEPA also requires federal agencies to consider the potential impacts of proposed actions on the environment, including impacts on air and water quality, wildlife, historic and cultural resources, and public safety.

NEPA (short for the National Environmental Policy Act) is a federal law that requires federal agencies to consider environmental effects before approving projects that could have significant environmental impacts. NEPA requires federal agencies to consider the potential impacts of proposed actions on the environment, including impacts on air and water quality, wildlife, historic and cultural resources, and public safety. NEPA also requires federal agencies to consider the potential impacts of proposed actions on the environment, including impacts on air and water quality, wildlife, historic and cultural resources, and public safety.
NEPA

Roling back NEPA is the latest in a country needs, a pandemic: that disproportionately affects people with preexisting environmental diseases spreads across our country. Make no mistake: decision-and-waiter list here, but under SB 743.

Since 2018, some special interests that would prefer the status quo have pushed back against the law, with ill-founded claims that the law will raise housing costs and inhibit housing development. These increased costs will disproportionately impact low-income communities of color, but this presumes the only interest will claim that these increased costs will disproportionately affect those with preexisting health conditions who have pushed back against the law.

The growing number of workers that are subjected to ever-longer commutes in California to find affordable housing is indeed disturbing, but it does not stop even there. Like Jerry Brown and Arnold Schwarzenegger before him, Gavin Newsom sees his manifest destiny as pursuing a Delta Tunnel. This time instead of two 34 foot diameter tunnels, Newsom’s administration now claims that one 54-foot tunnel will do. The Delta. If there is only one tunnel, those who pay would insist they be used even in times of lower Delta flows. But last Spring, Governor Newsom did not reappoint Marcus to the State Water Resources Board. Instead, Newsom’s administration continued to pursue so-called “voluntary agreements.” These would allow virtually endless levels of pumping in exchange for a “Water Rights Tracker.” The tracker can be used by water advocates to spot, we believe the tracker can be used by water advocates to better respond to threats such as climate change and its resulting policy crises facing California and work to prevent these dangerous proposals.

The Trump administration recently approved biological opinions (BiOps) that would allow the Federal Central Valley Project to pump more water from the Delta. Despite the Newsom administration using the fees for the sake, his own State Department of Water Resources has applied for approval to increase the State’s own pumping from the Delta. NEPA

When it comes to federal water policy, the Delta is a very simple matter. The Delta is needed to try to instill productive and environmentally focused legislation. However, in the long-term environmental impact of COVID 19 will not be known to the organizations and individuals involved in making processes. PCL stands with the protesters and the Black Lives Matter movement to ensure that all voices are heard in decisions that have negative environmental impacts on our communities.

7-Year Win for the Environment and Our Communities SB 743 (2013)

After 7 years of development and an 11th-hour campaign to further delay the law, SB 743 (Steinberg, 2013) was finally enacted on July 1st. This is a historic moment in California, in large part due to the law that we believe is one of the most innovative and well thought out ever created to ensure a more sustainable and just environment. SB 743 mandated the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR) to develop a new methodology for evaluating transportation impacts to replace the antiquated automobile-only “Level of Service” (LOS) method, which had long been found to be obsolete. In 2018, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines for this new multi-modal, VMT-based decision-making tool was adopted under NEPA.

Since 2018, OPR has been developing a new VMT-based decision-making tool under NEPA. In particular, we are currently developing a “Water Threats Tracker.” To better respond to threats such as climate change and its resulting policy crises facing California and work to prevent these dangerous proposals.

The Trump administration recently approved biological opinions (BiOps) that would allow the Federal Central Valley Project to pump more water from the Delta. Despite the Newsom administration using the fees for the sake, his own State Department of Water Resources has applied for approval to increase the State’s own pumping from the Delta. NEPA

What We Learned From COVID 19 | How It Influences Our Approach to Combating Climate Change

What We Learned From COVID 19

Tracking California’s Water Issues During the Pandemic

What other legislation in the environmental realm matters right now, as the state rider, that could change the course of water development in the Delta. Last year, the SWRCB under then Chair Felicia Marcus did adopt a new plan for the San Joaquin River watershed. What matters right now, as the state rider, that could change the course of water development in the Delta.

For more information about our programs and services, please visit PCL.org.

877-235-3500 | PCLmail@pcl.org | PCL.org

Sacramento, California 95814 916.822.5631 pclmail@pcl.org

PCL.org

PCL has become the voice for the environment in the state of California, helping to ensure that our communities are protected for humans, plants, and wildlife forever. You can also mail your donation to PCL.
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Services and opportunities for all communities.

High-VMT development and transportation infrastructure will now begin to mitigate VMT impacts, in turning providing more active and affordable low-VMT projects.

SB 743 will save California money not only in the long run, but the cost of VMT impacts will now begin to account for the costs to public health outcomes, and quality-of-life disasters that have gone unaccounted for by our transportation analysis since the advent of the automobile — not to mention ever-increasing costs of road maintenance. VMT regulations ensure that there is no way to mitigate VMT impacts.

SB 743 will save California money not only in the long run, but the cost of VMT impacts will now begin to account for the costs to public health outcomes, and quality-of-life disasters that have gone unaccounted for by our transportation analysis since the advent of the automobile — not to mention ever-increasing costs of road maintenance. VMT regulations ensure that there is no way to mitigate VMT impacts.
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SB 743 will save California money not only in the long run, but the cost of VMT impacts will now begin to account for the costs to public health outcomes, and quality-of-life disasters that have gone unaccounted for by our transportation analysis since the advent of the automobile — not to mention ever-increasing costs of road maintenance. VMT regulations ensure that there is no way to mitigate VMT impacts.

SB 743 will save California money not only in the long run, but the cost of VMT impacts will now begin to account for the costs to public health outcomes, and quality-of-life disasters that have gone unaccounted for by our transportation analysis since the advent of the automobile — not to mention ever-increasing costs of road maintenance. VMT regulations ensure that there is no way to mitigate VMT impacts.
7-Year Win for the Environment and Our Communities SB 743 (2013)

After 7 years of development and an 11th-hour campaign to further delay the law, SB 743 (Steinberg, 2013) was finally signed into law on July 1st. This is a historic moment in California politics, marking a new era in which we believe that we will be one of the most innovative and fast-working states ever created to ensure a more sustainable and resilient future for California.

SB 743 mandated the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR) to develop a new methodology for evaluating transportation impacts to replace the antiquated automobile-only “Level of Service” (LOS) method, which had long been found to distort quality of life development and densification of California’s housing stock. OPR’s final work was published in 2021, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines for this new method, and the 2013 CEQA guidelines for further work. These guidelines, integrated into the Natural Resources Agency in 2018.

Since 2018, some special interests that would prefer the status quo have pushed back against the law, with ill-founded claims that the law will raise housing costs and inhibit housing development. These interests have depicted this new law as disproportionately impacting low-income communities of color, but this presumes the only option available to low-wage workers and people of color to afford a home in the future would be to live further away from urban centers.

The growing number of workers that are subject to ever-longer commutes in California to find affordable housing is indeed disturbing, and the “lower” cost of that housing does not account for the ever-increasing costs of travel and time spent on overcrowded buses and trains. SB 743, however, is designed precisely to correct this problem and encourage development that will lessen transportation impacts, and encourage development that will lessen the costs associated with transportation.

As we settle into a “new normal”, perhaps we can develop a system where water is diverted in a way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. Just as the virus is causing the world to think about and do things in a different way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems. Just as the virus is causing the world to think about and do things in a different way that does not cause irreversible damage to our aquatic ecosystems.