

## Assembly Day 4

### Session Seven: Adapting to Climate Change with Innovative Land Use/Water Strategies

#### Q&A:

1. How often does the San Joaquin river have enough flow to flood the areas identified? One year in ten? More or less often?
  - a. Answer: With floodplain expansion work, we can move from one in ten years to one in 2 years in most high priority locations.
2. In "real estate appraisals," might a public commitment to "reasonable asset valuation" put this problem into a practical ballpark for "solution?"
3. Please provide some examples of how Dos Rios Project (or others) improve water quality (listed as one of the project benefits)
  - a. Answer: Diluting legacy contaminants around drinking water wells (Grayson) and permanently retiring chemical inputs in the most sensitive drainage areas (all floodplains).
4. What are the impacts of a 0% allocation from the Central Valley Project to start this year?
5. Once the recharge opportunities are identified, and funding and hydrogeologic limitations are addressed, where will the water come from to add to the subsurface.
6. Answer: Atmospheric rivers. We have a capture problem that we can solve.
7. What is the role of insurance in this whole thing?
8. Answer: You mean besides the insurance companies being very bad actors in converting land to hardened water demand? investing hundreds of millions in conversion to nut orchards... hard for public agencies to keep up.

#### Chat Log:

[pcl.org/registrantinfo](http://pcl.org/registrantinfo)

We are not able to copy and paste links or copy and save the comments.

The chat will be saved and posted on the PCL website [pcl.org/registrantinfo](http://pcl.org/registrantinfo) after the webinar ends.

Hello rockstar panel!

It feels like we are finally able to assign a value (cost) of over-drafted ground water and the environmental loss associated with it.

+10 Tom.

YES!!!

Environmental Justice and Public Trust?

Great panel! Thanks to all.

You did!

# Session Eight: Sacramento, City Hall, Communities - Who's in Charge?

## Q&A:

1. In LA, did any NGO's say anything about environmental health or justice and it's relationship with the California Public Trust Doctrine?
2. How many vacant housing units are out there right now?
3. What about urban growth boundaries which encourage infill and controlling sprawl into cheaper ag. lands.
4. Can the panelists each share a specific step, beyond what is happening now, that can be taken to drive community leadership (by the folks in need of housing that each panelists has mentioned) while addressing the downsides of local control that has also been mentioned?
5. What are your views on potentially increasing inclusionary housing requirements at the state level? Why does the state not have a statewide inclusionary housing requirement so developers of housing include a portion that is affordable in every residential development?
6. The Mayor urges mandatory production of certain types of housing by localities. What sanctions would he impose on cities and counties if they don't meet those production targets?
7. If any of these rules were really aimed at affordability, wouldn't they just directly subsidize renters?
8. What do you think is the ideal population size of California, given our burdened watersheds, air quality, etc.?
9. California's population has been relatively stable for several years. How can our housing shortage be so great? What number of housing units become inhabitable or are removed from the supply for whatever reason each year? Where are these units going?

## Chat Log:

[pcl.org/registantinfo](http://pcl.org/registantinfo)

Padres, really?

<https://calgreenzones.org/report-rethinking-local-control/>

What about urban growth boundaries which encourages infill and controlling slurring into cheaper ag. Lands

Go Rivercats!

Community Driven over local control

Posted this in the Q&A too and I think it dovetails with Martha's statement about "community driven": Can the panelists each share a specific step, beyond what is happening now, that can be taken to drive community leadership (by the folks in need of housing that each panelists has mentioned) while addressing the downsides of local control that have also been mentioned?

Here are some case studies <https://calgreenzones.org/report-rethinking-local-control/>

The market is not going to solve systemic inequality in housing

YES! All new developments should have 25% inclusionary. Legalized doesn't mean you make it happen - put it in the BILLS

Eternal growth is a 20th century idea.

<https://calgreenzones.org/platform-for-environmental-housing-justice/>

Thank you Martha!

We need quality housing not a stack & pack them with low performing schools.

And yet, the time comes when we reach a limit to what our water supply, natural resources, open space, parks, air quality, water quality, and quality of life will support.

Make 25% mandatory inclusionary housing and invest in public education. Target families receiving aid and HELP them

[pcl.org/registrantinfo](http://pcl.org/registrantinfo)

Thank you!