Q&A LOG: Panel Session #1: state mandates and local control
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Development in specific plan area requires development agreement and that DA must specify how affordable housing mandates in GP and ordinance will be met. Citizens seek more affordable units than city council provides and citizens and Council disagree on what GP actually mandates. What risk are there of state law preemption if citizens referend the DA to compel city and developer to get to a better affordable housing outcome?

Ivanka: Can you speak to the conundrum of low cost (low value) residential housing surrounding Industrial zoned property? It feels like a chicken and egg discussion--which came first.

Please provide direct contact email and/or phone information for Ms. Palmer and Ms. Saunders for future questions or concerns.

Ivanka: Thankyou, You amply answered my question.

Is high speed rail considered an improvement or detriment to historically disadvantaged environmental justice communities?

It seems that the chat was disabled the whole time. Is there a way to open it?

I am with the California Oaks program of California Wildlife Foundation and interested in the speakers’ input about a proposed wind power project in Shasta County that was not approved by the county, primarily because of concerns about the project’s impacts on Tribal Lands and concerns about fire danger associated with the inability of fire fighters to fly planes amongst the large blades of the proposed turbines. Subsequent to the disapproval, the county passed a measure to prevent future windfarm developments. The project is now under consideration by the California Energy Commission via AB 205’s windfarm opt-in provision. The county does not need the electricity that would be produced by the proposed project and the power would thus be an extractive energy development that the county has decided is not in its best interest. The state’s interest in advancing Tribal sovereignty and biodiversity protection appear to be undermined by this project advancing through the California Energy Commission.

Wonderful session!